

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,266	11/26/2003	Patrick Maleo	033818-027	6454
7.	590 06/30/2005	EXAMINER		
HAROLD R.		ALLEN, ANDRE J		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.				
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V	A 22313-1404		2855	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4*						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/721,266	MALEO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary $\sim$	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre J. Allen	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become w	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 21 April 2003</u> .	~				
,	This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	of received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-26-03.	B/08) 5) Notice o 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Taper No(a)rivian Date <u>17-20-00</u> .	o/ □ Otilet					

# DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 13-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4-21-05.

### Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the it is not limited to a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In this particular case the recitation an area "azimuthed" as claimed is not clear with regards to being described in the specification. There is no disclosure as to how the azimuth is used in the device.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2855

Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, it is not clear what "an area azimuthed" is.

Regarding claims 6-7, it is not clear what an average "out of round is".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rousseau (US 5060510).

Regarding claims 1 and 8 Rousseau teaches a said tire 20 having a marking 30 indicating an extreme value of a parameter having a circumferential variation (col. 4 lines 55-60), wherein an area of at least

Art Unit: 2855

one bead 12 of the tire is held at least during a first inflation phase (col. 7 lines 5-10) and in that said area is azimuthed according to the said marking 30 and a tool/uniformity machine exerting a support force on the tire.

Regarding claims 2 and 9 Rousseau teaches the marking 30 indicates the position of the maximum of the harmonic H1 of the variation in radial load of the tire (col. 5 lines 30-40).

Regarding claims 3 and 10 Rousseau teaches said area coincides with the said marking (col. 4 lines 45-60).

Regarding claim 4 Rousseau teaches areas of the two tire beads 12 are held (abstract).

Regarding claim 5 Rousseau teaches the intensity of the holding decreases with the inflation. (col. 3 lines 15-30)

Regarding claims 6 and 7 Rousseau teaches the tire is fitted on a wheel where the maximum of the harmonic H1 of the average out-of-round is marked and in that the marking on the tire is diametrically opposed/ on a

Art Unit: 2855

radius similar to the marking on the wheel during fitting (col. 5 lines 48-65).

Regarding claims 11 and 12 Rousseau teaches the tool is a clamp or nipper with mechanical pressure means (col. 2 lines 30-40)

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855 EDWARD LEEKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7